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## Abstract

Obesity is an important health problem in the world, but also growing fast in the developing countries like India. Specifically, central obesity is associated with greater health risk than lower body obesity. Obesity is measured using waist circumference (WC) and body mass index (BMI). The association between body mass index, waist circumference and dynamic pulmonary function test (PFT) varies in different populations and shows controversial results. Present study covered 150 healthy sedentary employees of age group (30-40) years, who worked in an air conditioned environment of a private motor vehicle centers at Jabalpur for a minimum of six years. Their anthropometric measurements- height, weight, waist-hip circumference, chest expansion are measured to calculate body mass index, waist-hip ratio and total body fat%. Spirometry was performed after informed consent in all these subjects. FEV1, FVC, FEV1/FVC ratio, PEFR, FEF 25-75 was recorded. When PFT variables of low BMI and overweight BMI group was compared with that of normal BMI group, the result shows inverse relation between BMI, WC and FEV1/FVC which indicates an obstructive airway diseases and it would be worsen in genetically prone high BMI subjects. FEF25-75 varies proportionately with increasing BMI indicates deteriorating lung functions.
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## Introduction:

Obesity is a global health hazard and has been linked to numerous metabolic complications such as dyslipidemia, type II diabetes, & cardio vascular diseases and is negatively associated to the pulmonary function1. Prevalence of obesity has become an important health problem in developing countries particularly in India. Central obesity is an important predictor of adverse health effects such as diabetes, hypertension, hyperlipidemia, and coronary events2. Abdominal obesity is associated with greater health risk than lower body obesity3. It is usually linked with abnormal eating behavior and sedentary lifestyle. Obesity is measured using waist circumference (WC) and body mass index (BMI). Body weight and BMI can be easily measured and therefore are frequently used in large-scale epidemiologic studies to find out the health hazards caused by obesity. Numerous studies have examined the association between body mass index (BMI; in kg/m2) or body weight and pulmonary function testing variablesbut varies in different populations4,5,6.

Several studies have also evaluated the relation of waist circumference (WC) and waist-to-hip ratio (WHR) to pulmonary function testing variables with controversial results7,8,9. The mechanism which influences lung function in obesity is still debated and the best marker of central adiposity in relation to pulmonary functions is still not clear.

Globalization and technology dependent life leads to decrease in physical activity and substantial dietary changes especially consumption of fast food are important factors causing central obesity. It is well established that a sedentary lifestyle is associated with an acceleration of age-related physiological deterioration, such that the effects of aging and habitual physical inactivity may be additive. Some of these changes will influence the mechanical properties of the respiratory system. A physically active lifestyle is well established as a central component in the maintenance of good health and disease prevention. Earlier studies have not considered the percentage of body fat, BMI, waist hip ratio influencing the pulmonary function tests. Thus, the purpose of this study was to evaluate the association of pulmonary functions with the abdominal obesity in sedentary workers.

## Materials and Methods

* 1. This study was conducted in a private motor vehicle centers at Jabalpur in a period of six months. A total of 150 employees (30-40 years) who worked in the air conditioned environment for a minimum of 6 years were included in the present study. The employees in the study group were not regularly doing any type of exercise and no previous history of any respiratory diseases. Institutional Medical Ethical committee approval was obtained and written consent was taken from all participants. Workers with any pre-existing respiratory diseases, with the history of smoking, alcohol, chest wall deformities, Neuromuscular diseases, severe Obesity (BMI >30) and history of Pulmonary Tuberculosis were excluded from this study. The volunteers were asked to avoid beverages like tea, coffee and other stimulants and to report with light breakfast in the forenoon to avoid diurnal variations. They were briefed and familiarized with the procedure and self- demonstration of the required tests. The subjects were divided into three groups based on the Body Mass Index according to the WHO recommendations10 for Asian population into normal group (BMI18.5-25), low BMI group (BMI ≤18.5) and high BMI (BMI 25-30).

A complete spirogram was performed with SpirolabTMportable spirometer. The test was carried out in a private and quiet room, in a standing position with the nose clip held in position on the nose. The flow-volume timed graphs were taken out in accordance to the criteria based on the American Thoracic Society. The subject was instructed to take a deep breath until the lungs were full and then blow out through mouth as forcibly and as fast and as long as possible till his maximum capacity, sealing the lips tightly around a clean mouthpiece. Best of the three acceptable curves was selected as the recording. Spirometry parameters for analysis like expiratory volume in 1 second (FEV1) forced Vital Capacity (FVC), FEV1 / FVC Ratio, Peak Expiratory Flow Rate (PEFR), Forced expiratory flow FEF – 25 - 75 % was recorded.

* 1. **Anthropometric measurements**: The subjects were enquired for any acute respiratory problem and subjected to anthropometry at the point of entry using the standard procedures and instruments.

**Age** was recorded from birthday by calendar to the nearest of year (<6months and >6 months).

**Standing height** was recorded without shoes and with light cloths on a wall mounted measuring tape to the nearest of centimeters (<5 mm and >5 mm).

**Weight** was recorded without shoes and with light cloths on a weighing machine with a least count of 100 grams.

**Body mass index** was calculated by the formula of weight (in Kg) and height (in meters). BMI = Weight (Kg)/ (height in m2).

**Waist circumference (WC) measurement** was done with minimal, adequate clothing (light cloths) with feet 25–30 cm apart and weight equally balanced with a tailor’s measuring tape in a plane perpendicular to the long body axis at the level of umbilicus without compression of the skin with nearest to 0.1 cm (WC>/=90 cm) were defined as abdominal obesity using WHO Asia Pacific prospective guidelines

**Hip circumference** (HC) measurement was done with minimal, adequate clothing (light cloths) across the greater trochanter with legs and feet together by a measuring tape without compressing the skin fold.

**Waist-hip ratio** is the ratio of WC and HC was calculated and is the measure of central pattern of fat distribution. (>0.9for male and >0.8 for females).

**Measurement of chest expansion:** Chest expansion was measured using a non – stretchable measuring tape at the level of 4thintercoastal space, before and after maximum inspiration.

* 1. **Body-fat percentage measurement:** Bodyfat can be estimated from the Body mass index (BMI). There is a linear relationship between body-fat percentage (BF %) and BMI, taking age and gender into account. Based on which following prediction formula has been derived – that showed valid estimates of body fat at all ages, in males and females11,12,13. The following formula was used to predict the body-fat percentage is based on current BMI, age, and gender: *Adult Body Fat % = (1.20 × BMI) + (0.23 × Age) - (10.8 × gender) - 5.4* [Gender values for male = 1, female = 0].
  2. **Statistical Analysis:** Statistical analysis was done by using *Student’s unpaired ‘t’ test.* P value was taken as significant at 5 percent confidence level (p < 0.05).

## Results:

In the present study, the waist hip ratio, waist circumference, body fat% was significantly lower (P<0.001) in the low BMI group and significantly higher (P<0.001) in the high BMI group. Chest expansion in high BMI group is significantly less (P<0.001) compared to that of low BMI group. FEV1/FVC showed a significant reduction (P<0.001) in the high BMI group and significant improvement (P<0.001) in low BMI group. FEF 25-75 is significantly reduced in low BMI group (P<0.05) when compared to high BMI group. Further FVC and FEV1 did not show any significant changes.

## Discussion:

The health risks related to obesity, including its effects on respiratory function, are not only reflects magnitude of obesity but also due to the presence of abdominal fat14. Obesity does not cause airflow obstruction but can result in pulmonary restriction and reduction in airway15. Obesity is a condition that may contribute to airway hyper responsiveness15.

In the developed and developing countries, due to urbanization of the population in combination with low physical activity, central obesity is increasing. Abdominal obesity is due to accumulation of fat inside the peritoneal cavity, packed between the internal organs. Visceral fat is composed of several adipose depots including mesenteric, epididymal white adipose tissue and perirenal fat. In recent years scientists have come to an important recognition that body fat, instead of body weight, is the key to evaluate obesity. Available literature shows that BMI illustrates the best estimate of the total body fat, while waist measurement gives an estimate of visceral fat and risk of obesity-related disease 16.17,18. Physical inactivity is considered a global health concern and the leading risk factor for chronic disease and death. In the present study, waist hip ratio, waist circumference and body fat percentage was less in the low BMI group as compared to the high BMI group. Vigorous and high physical activity have stronger relationships with low body fat than moderate activity19.The present study showed a significant associations between low physical activity at work and both total and central obesity. These findings provide some support to the hypothesis that low levels of physical activity may be contributing to obesity epidemic. A recent study suggested that it might be possible to increase physical activity at work without sacrificing productivity20. Our findings suggest that increasing opportunities for physical activity at work as well as in leisure time and/or reducing long working hours per week should be major components of public health policy for obesity prevention.

Pulmonary function test is a basic and essential test for diagnosis and assessment of pulmonary dysfunction, pulmonary diseases, and treatment effects. Literature shows that distribution of body fat affects pulmonary function21, 22. Larger the waist lesser will be the pulmonary function. With an increasing abdominal fat deposition, thoracic volume reduces consequently. Further, not only vital capacity but power of respiratory muscles decreases deteriorating mechanical efficiency of thorax. In addition, pulmonary capacity declines and as a result of shallow and rapid respirations, respiratory dead space increases, and eventually tracheal obstruction and partial atelectasis develop. Previous studies showed an inverse relation between FVC, FEV1 and abdominal obesity23, 24 .Our study did not include obese subjects. Abdominal fat is more likely to have direct effect on the downward movement of the diaphragm, thus reducing the lung volumes and capacities. Central obesity has a clear potential to have a direct effect on respiratory well-being, since it increases oxygen consumption and carbon dioxide production, while at the same time it stiffens the respiratory system and increases the mechanical work needed for breathing. The association between obesity and asthma has also raised new concerns about whether the mechanical effects of obesity on the respiratory system contribute to airway dysfunction that could induce or worsen asthma6.A PEFR or ‘peak flow’ is a measurement of the fastest air flow during forced expiration. When the airways are narrowed by bronchoconstriction during an asthma attack, the airflow is reduced, so the PEFR falls. The PEFR may start to fall before the patient is aware of symptoms, and be useful as an early warning of an asthmatic attack25. In our study group, the subjects are not showing any significant change in PEFR which indicates that, reduction in FEV1/FVC in these subjects is not due to developing asthma, but if they are genetically susceptible, an asthma attack would worsen the condition in overweight subjects.

FEF 25-75 showed a marked reduction in low BMI group in our study which could be due to malnutrition. A decrease in the BMI in the underweight population will also lead to a decrement in the pulmonary functions. A reduction in the pulmonary functions might be associated with lack of energy in the underweight population26. Malnutrition unfavorably influences the lung functions by decreasing the respiratory muscle mass, strength, endurance and the defense mechanisms of the lung immune system27. Muscle wasting leads to reduction in the diaphragmatic mass and a weaker respiratory muscle function diminishes the respiratory muscle strength and it changes the ventilatory capacity of lungs.

**Conclusion**

This study is an attempt to bring awareness about variation of lung functions with increase in BMI and WC. The information may help to acknowledge the pulmonary health risks that crop up with increasing body mass index and abdominal fat accumulation. Awareness to maintain BMI and WC at normal levels by life style modifications and interventions might help us in moving forward for eradication of obesity and impairment of pulmonary functions. Moreover the early identification of individuals at risk prior to the onset of obesity is imperative in developing countries. Future research with larger sample size to compare the pulmonary functions with obesity will more enlighten the effect of obesity on pulmonary functions.
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**Tables**

## Table I: Waist Hip ratio, FVC (L), FEV1 (L), FEV1/FVC (L), PEFR (L), FEF 25-75(L), BODY

***FAT %, Waist Circumference (cm) and Chest expansion (cm) in normal, Low BMI and high BMI subjects.***

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **PARAMETERS** | **NORMAL** | **LOW BMI** | **HIGH BMI** |
| **WAIST HIP RATIO** | 0.86± 0.04 | 0.83± 0.02\*\*\* | 0.92± 0.04¶ ¶ ¶ |
| **FVC (L)** | 3.47±0.58 | 3.46±0.65NS | 3.46±0.56 NS |
| **FEV1(L)** | 3.34±0.53 | 3.44±0.64NS | 3.30±0.44NS |
| **FEV1/FVC (L)** | 96.54±4.03 | 99.26±1.51\*\*\* | 93.88±4.15¶ ¶ ¶ |
| **PEFR(L)** | 8.94± 1.68 | 9.05± 1.70NS | 8.54± 1.13NS |
| **FEF 25-75(L)** | 5.09±1.11 | 4.73±1.53\*\* | 5.08±1.19 NS# |
| **BODY FAT %** | 16.55±3.15 | 9.54±1.49\*\*\* | 22.70±2.25¶ ¶ ¶ |
| **Waist Circumference(cm)** | 81.18±7.30 | 72.45±6.44\*\*\* | 92.15±5.21¶ ¶ ¶ |
| **Chest expansion (cm)** | 3.78±0.16 | 4.15±0.68\* | 2.36±0.50¶ ¶ ¶# # |

(n=50; n is the number of subjects in each group).Values expressed as Mean ± SD.

P< 0.05\*, P< 0.001\*\*, P< 0.0001\*\*\* -Comparison between Normal group versus Low BMI P< 0.001¶ ¶ ,P< 0.0001¶ ¶ ¶, - Comparison between Normal group versus High BMI

P< 0.05#, P< 0.001## -Comparison between Low BMI versus High BMI