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ABSTRACT

The total testing process is divided into preanalytical, analytical and post analytical phase, with most of the errors has been suggested to occur in pre analytical phase. Pre analytical phase is the seismic zone in terms of errors as this phase has processes that are generally held outside the clinical laboratory and not under the control of the laboratory management. Therefore, active monitoring and control of all possible defects that are caused by non –laboratory personnel is essential for incorporating actions outside the laboratory in the laboratory quality assurance plan. Measures to reduce preanalytical errors include- continuous education & training, written policies, implementation of standard operating procedures, establishment of quality indicators and communication. It is the involvement of the entire health care team as a single unit that can bring improvement in total testing process and specifically pre analytical phase.
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Introduction

The creditability of laboratory test results rely heavily on each and every step in total testing process performed correctly at the right time, in right manner by right personnel. A quality laboratory report ensures timely medical decision making. Total testing process encompasses nine critical steps: ordering, collection, identification, transportation, separation or preparation, analysis, reporting and action[1]. Every parameter has three phases of testing- Pre analytical, analytical and post analytical phase. There have been significant improvement in the quality of laboratory test results with improvement in reliability and standardization of analytical techniques, reagents and instrumentation. [2]

The ISO 15189:2007 standard for laboratory accreditation defines the preanalytical phase as ‘ steps starting in chronological order from clinician’s request and include examination of request form, collection of sample and the transport to the laboratory or within the laboratory culminating in analytical phase of testing.’[3] The preanalytical phase accounts for nearly 70% of the errors in laboratory testing.[4] The preanalytical phase beyond doubt is the most error prone phase but recent data from literature suggests that the errors occurring in the preanalytical phase are mostly related to procedures performed outside the laboratory by health personnel who are not under direct control of laboratory.[5] For example, we are all aware of effect of hemolysis on serum Potassium concentration. The sample collection by nursing staff in inpatients inwards, ICU and clinical areas is more prone to errors than by sample collection done by laboratory personnel or phlebotomist. Again the nursing staff is not directly under the control of laboratory. The laboratory personnel can train, evaluate competency of nursing staff for sample collection but cannot directly supervise them as both have different domains of working. However, the laboratory relies on the sample collection technique by nursing staff and analysis of samples is done with reporting of tests. Therefore it is imperative that quality improvement must take in to account both the ‘classical’ preanalytical phase and the procedures that are part of preanalytical phase included in the ‘pre-preanalytical phase’, which are performed neither in the laboratory nor at least in part, under the direct control of the laboratory.[6]

Discussion

The preanalytical phase is riddled with lax attitude about filling of requisition to lack of education about ideal phlebotomy procedure. Plebani and Carraro in their papers have emphasized on the importance of pre analytical phase as a major determinant for curtailing errors in laboratory medicine. [7] The lack of external quality assessment for pre analytical phase further complicates the issue as bench marking is not possible for this phase of testing.

The important errors in preanalytical phase are as follows

i) Incomplete & Inappropriate Laboratory Test Request form: Incomplete request forms are rarely rejected at the laboratory reception as many times the staff at reception are un aware of the significance of missing data. The information may be physician name, patient identifier and requested test. As per literature studies the inappropriate tests may vary from 11 to 70% for general biochemistry & hematology, 5 % to
A plausible solution for reducing preanalytical errors is by use of quality indicators covering all steps in the preanalytical phase. Quality indicators which are the objective measure to evaluate all critical care domains as defined by Institute of Medicine (IOM) are evidence based and can be implemented in consistent, comparable manner across all settings. It is therefore necessary that there should be consistent and systematic data collection, analysis using set of indicators that address all stages of total testing process and targeted focus on areas which have impact on patient care and health outcome.

It was in 2008 under the aegis of The international federation of clinical chemistry and laboratory medicine (IFCC) in 2008 a working group named ‘Laboratory errors and patient safety’ (WG-LEPS) evaluated relevant quality indicators to address all stages of total testing process. The model suggested by WG-LEPS complied with the requirements of the standard UNI 11097 as per which a quality indicator is, ‘the information, qualitative or quantitative that is able to evaluate its change during the time and to verify the defined quality goals in order to take correct decisions and choices’.

The 16 quality indicators as developed by the IFCC WG-LEPS for the preanalytical phase are shown in table -1.

The development of quality indicators in laboratory is the fundamental step that provides evidence of quality process in TTP but mere collection and monitoring of data does not result in quality improvement unless efforts are made to achieve consensus on the preparation, adoption and monitoring of effective standard operating procedures in preanalytical phase of laboratory testing.

**Conclusion**

Quality assurance is a never ending journey. The interrelated steps that can prevent preanalytical errors are: a) short & clear written procedures, b) improvement in health care personnel continuous education & training, c) implementation of standard operating procedures, d) establishing quality indicators and e) improvement in communication between health care professionals and individuals departments. The laboratory staff should not only know the procedures and the consequences of non compliance & corrective action but they must also know about the errors and their effect on the sample, test result and finally the patient. There should be ongoing training for these employees and annual competence assessment. The testing areas involving non laboratory personnel should have excellent communication with the laboratory. Adequate and effective training of personnel on processes
**Table 1: Quality indicator (QI) in Preanalytical phase.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>QI</th>
<th>Appropriateness of test request</th>
<th>No of Requests with clinical question (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>QI-1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QI-2</td>
<td>Appropriateness of test request</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QI-3</td>
<td>Examination requisition</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QI-4</td>
<td>Examination requisition</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QI-5</td>
<td>Identification</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QI-6</td>
<td>Identification</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QI-7</td>
<td>Test request</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QI-8</td>
<td>Samples</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QI-9</td>
<td>Samples</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QI-10</td>
<td>Samples</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QI-11</td>
<td>Samples</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QI-12</td>
<td>Samples</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QI-13</td>
<td>Samples</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QI-14</td>
<td>Samples</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QI-15</td>
<td>Samples</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QI-16</td>
<td>Samples</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 1: QI (Quality Indicator) as a tool in laboratory improvement.
and procedures should be given top priority in institutes, hospitals and laboratories involving entire health care system so that we can witness improvement in quality of overall testing process.
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