Abnormal WBC Scattergrams by Sysmex XN550, A Supplementary Diagnostic Tool for Malaria to the Conventional Methods
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ABSTRACT

Background: In India, malaria has a major impact on health system. It is usually diagnosed based on symptomatology, parasite detection in the peripheral smear (PS) or rapid diagnostic tests (RDT) such as malaria antigen test (MAT). Detection of malaria by MAT is considered as the gold standard. A rapid, cost effective screening of malaria can be done with the automated analyzers. The present study was undertaken to assess the efficacy of WBC scattergram generated by Sysmex XN 550 hematology analyzer to diagnose malaria.

Methods: A prospective study was conducted over a period of 4 months from August to November 2019, after obtaining institutional ethical clearance. All cases diagnosed as Plasmodium vivax/Plasmodium falciparum infections on malaria antigen test (MAT) were included. Their hemogram and WBC scattergrams obtained from Sysmex XN 550 were studied. Thick & thin Smears were made and stained with Leishman’s stain for microscopy.

Results: A total of 101 cases were diagnosed as malaria positive by MAT and thick smear. Ninety-seven were positive by Leishman’s stain. Abnormal scattergrams were 81 out of 101 malaria positive cases. The commonest pattern was double neutrophil zone (n=22) followed by double neutrophil with less space between neutrophil and eosinophil (n=17). An abnormal event on X axis was observed in 16 patients. Gray zone and double eosinophil areas were observed in 11 and 4 cases respectively. The sensitivity of the analyzer was found to be 80.19%.

Conclusion: Scattergram of automated haematology analyzer (Sysmex XN550) has good sensitivity, which can be increased to a better level if combined with thrombocytopenia and symptomatology of the patients.
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Introduction

Malaria is one of the most common parasitic diseases in the world. It is caused by parasites of the genus Plasmodium, which infects human being through the bite of mosquitoes of the genus Anopheles. Clinical diagnosis of malarial infection is based on patient’s signs and symptoms such as fever with chills and as these are very nonspecific correct diagnosis becomes difficult. The microscopic detection of malaria parasite is the standard method of diagnosis till now. Though this is a cheaper method, there is a high degree of subjectivity while reporting of the smears. Accurate interpretation of the peripheral smear (PS) needs considerable expertise. So, this method becomes less reliable in cases with low parasitic index. Hence, laboratory misdiagnosis is may occur. Hematology analyzers are now being routinely used in many laboratories. The automation in hematology helps in analyzing various parameters. The normal scattergram (Fig:1) in the DIFF plot consists of five components: lymphocytes (pink), monocytes (green), neutrophil and basophils (blue), eosinophils (red) and a space between the neutrophil and eosinophil populations. Nowadays many automated analysers are available which can detect malarial parasite if careful observation of scattergram is done. It is found that hemazoin pigment produced by malaria also scatter the laser light, producing abnormal scattergrams in CBC analysis.

Different abnormal patterns found on WBC-DIFF (differential) scattergrams (Fig:2) in malaria infection are mixing of neutrophils & eosinophils clusters, double neutrophils or double eosinophils clusters, graying of neutrophil and eosinophil clusters, reduced space between eosinophil & neutrophil clusters, large eosinophil clusters and prominent blue/purple events above X axis.

Hemozoin pigments produced by malaria parasite have property to depolarize the laser light. So, the infected RBCs having different morphologic forms eg. trophozoites (also known as ring forms), schizonts, gametocyte and the phagocytic cells (monocyte, macrophage, and neutrophil containing the parasite) produce bizarre and unusual scattergrams during routine CBC analysis.

The present study was conducted to check the efficacy of WBC scattergrams by Sysmex XN 550 to diagnose malaria so that early diagnosis and treatment prevents the subsequent complications.
**Materials and Methods:**
This is a prospective study and carried over an interval of 4 months from August 2019 to November 2019 after approval by an ethical committee. Samples of the patients of all age groups who were sent for investigations by clinicians to hematology section of our tertiary care hospital were collected. Only those which were positive for Plasmodium Vivax and Plasmodium Falciparum by MAT test were included in our study. Blood samples were collected in an EDTA bulb and processed on the Sysmex XN 550 differential cell counter in hematology section of the department of Pathology. Complete blood counts including all parameters of RBC, WBC and platelets were assessed. WBC scattergrams were studied in each case. Clinical history of all the patients was obtained. Smears of the fresh samples were made and stained with Leishman’s stain for microscopy. Also, thick smears were prepared for all the cases. Sensitivity of scattergram, thin smear and thick smear was assessed and compared with that of MAT.

**Source of data**
The OPD and in patients of tertiary care hospital from August 2019 to November 2019

**Result**
Out of total 101 malaria cases 67 were males while 34 were females. The age range of the patients varied between 2 months and 81 years with maximum patients in the age group of 21 to 30 years. P. vivax cases were predominant accounting for 93 whereas P. falciparum were 5 and 3 cases of mixed infection of vivax and falciparum were found (Table 1). A total of 101 cases were diagnosed as malaria positive by MAT and thick smear (Table 2). Abnormal scattergrams were shown by the analyzer in 81(80.19%) out of 101 malaria positive cases. The commonest pattern was double neutrophil zone (n=22) 27.16% followed by double neutrophil with less space between neutrophil and eosinophil (n=17) 20.98%. An abnormal event on X axis was observed in (n=16)19.75%patients. Gray zone and double eosinophil areas were observed in n=11(13.58%) and n=4(4.93%) cases respectively (Table 3). The sensitivity of the analyzer was found to be 80.19%.

**Hematological findings: (Table 1)**
Hemogram study revealed thrombocytopenia in 93.06% cases, anaemia and leucopenia in 58.41% and16.83% cases respectively. Pancytopenia was observed in 9.9% and leukocytosis in 22.77% case and pseudoeosinophilia in 19.80%.

**Discussion**
Scattergram is a graph of the distribution of two variables in a sample population. One variable is plotted on the Y axis; the second is plotted on the X axis. A scattergram shows the degree or tendency with which the variables occur in association with each other. The fluorescence flow cytometry principle is used by the Sysmex analysers. In the fluorescence flow cytometry technology, cells are subjected to a laser beam which gets scattered in various directions. The forward scattered light measures the cell volume while the side scattered light measures cell granularity. Researchers have provided different patterns of scattergrams in patients with malaria which are as follows.

1. Abnormal events over X axis (marked by purple color)
2. Mixing of eosinophils and neutrophils scatter plots. which may be orange-red, sky blue or graying color.
3. Reduced space between neutrophil and eosinophil groups.
4. Appearance of multiple eosinophil or neutrophil groups.
5. Mixed patterns.

In present study n=81 (80.19%) of the scattergrams were abnormal suggesting malarial infection whereas n=20 (19.80%) was normal. Out of 101 positive cases all showed parasite on thick PS, while on thin smear n=97(96.03%) was positive, those 4 cases which were missed on thin smear had low parasite index.

Out of 20 cases which were normal on scattergram, all were having symptoms of fever with chills and 16 of them had thrombocytopenia.

Sharma Sunita et al [8] in their study had sensitivity of 83.58% and Mohapatra et al [9] had 74.28%, both of which were in concordance with our study. While B S Ramya et al [10] obtained scattergram sensitivity of 90.5% to detect malaria. (Table 4)

While analyzing the WBC scattergrams, we found the commonest pattern was double neutrophil zone 27.16% followed by double neutrophil with less space between neutrophil and eosinophil (n=17) 20.98%. An abnormal event on X axis was observed in (n=16)19.75%patients. Gray zone and double eosinophil areas were observed in n=11(13.58%) and n=4(4.93%) cases respectively (Table 3). The sensitivity of the analyzer was found to be 80.19%.
On the contrary in study by Sharma S et al[8], most common abnormality was found to be graying of eosinophil and neutrophil populations (41.10%) which was 13.58% in our study.

In course of our study, we also focused on PS findings, prevalence of anaemia, thrombocytopenia, pseudoeosinophilia and clinical features. Different stages of parasites were noticed such as trophozoites (Fig:4a), schizonts (Fig:4b) and gametocytes (Fig:4c).

Malaria can be predicted in patients who present with a combination of anemia and thrombocytopenia.[12] Gupta P et al [13] found that thrombocytopenia was significantly seen in 89.3% of malaria cases. Thrombocytopenia was present in 97.7% of malaria positive cases, according to study by Hassan Mubin et al [14] Similar findings were observed by Abro et al [15] and Chandra et al. [16] In present study, we also had similar findings with 93.06% cases of thrombocytopenia. Evolution of anemia in malaria is complex and depends on many factors. It occurs due to hemolysis of infected RBCs, depressed as well as ineffective erythropoiesis with dyserythropoiesis and anemia of chronic disease. [17,18] In our study 58.41% patients were anemic.

Sometimes, a spurious increase in the mixed cell population (both monocytes and eosinophils) can be a clue to the presence of malaria parasites in the red blood cells. [19] This is thought to occur because the parasite infected RBCs cannot be lysed by the diluent solution and will enter the WBC counting chamber. In a study by Adlekha et al [20] using Sysmex XS-800i analyzer, a spurious increase in the mixed cell population was found to be moderately sensitive and highly specific in diagnosing malaria. In our study pseudoeosinophilia was found to be 19.80%.

Table 1: Hemogram findings in malaria cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hemogram abnormality</th>
<th>Number of malaria cases</th>
<th>Percentage%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Leucopenia (&lt;4000/µl)</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>16.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anaemia only (M&lt;13gm/dl, F&lt;12gm/dl)</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>58.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thrombocytopenia only (&lt;1,50,000/µl)</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>93.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pancytopenia</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>9.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leukocytosis</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>22.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pseudoeosinophilia</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>19.80</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Sensitivity in diagnosing malaria cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cases diagnosed on scattergram</th>
<th>Cases diagnosed on peripheral smear</th>
<th>Cases diagnosed by MAT (malaria antigen test)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cases diagnosed on scattergram</td>
<td>Cases diagnosed on peripheral smear</td>
<td>Cases diagnosed by MAT (malaria antigen test)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cases diagnosed on scattergram</td>
<td>On thin smear</td>
<td>On thick smear</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On thick smear</td>
<td>On thin smear</td>
<td>On thick smear</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On thin smear</td>
<td>On thick smear</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>81 (80.19%)</td>
<td>97 (96.03%)</td>
<td>101 (100%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: Various abnormalities in WBC scattergram in malaria cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scattergram finding</th>
<th>P. vivax</th>
<th>P. falciparum</th>
<th>PV + PF mixed infection</th>
<th>Total N (%)</th>
<th>Predominant parasite form</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Double neutrophil</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>22 (27.16%)</td>
<td>Late trophozoite &amp; schizont</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Double neutrophil + Reduced space</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>17 (20.98%)</td>
<td>Late trophozoite &amp; schizont</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abnormal finding on X axis</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>16 (19.75%)</td>
<td>Early trophozoite</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduced space</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10 (12.34%)</td>
<td>Early trophozoite &amp; schizont</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gray zone in neutrophil &amp; Eosinophil</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>11 (13.58%)</td>
<td>Schizont &amp; gametocyte</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Double eosinophil</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4 (4.93%)</td>
<td>Schizont</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mixed pattern</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1 (1.23%)</td>
<td>Trophozoite &amp; schizont</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total abnormal cases</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>81</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 4: Comparative Scattergram studies in malaria cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S. No.</th>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Sensitivity of Scattergram</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Sharma Sunita et al</td>
<td>83.58%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Mohapatra S et al</td>
<td>74.28%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Ramya et al</td>
<td>90.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Pai Vidya et al</td>
<td>76.88%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Present study</td>
<td>80.19%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 1: The Normal scattergram.

Fig. 2: Patterns of abnormal scattergrams in malarial infection by Sysmex XN-550, (a) Double Neutrophil, (b) Gray Zone, (c) Double neutrophil & reduced spacing in N & Eo, (d) Gray zone + Double Neutro + reduced spacing in N & Eo, (e) Abnormal event above X axis Purple Area, (f) Double Eosinophil- in Malaria infection.
Fig. 3: Pretreatment (Double neutrophil & reduced spacing in Neutrophil & Eosinophil) & post treatment scattergrams in malaria.

Fig: (4a) Trophozoite of P. vivax, (4b) Schizonts of P. vivax, Fig: (4c) Gametocyte of P. falciparum.

Conclusion
We observed that scattergram abnormalities are useful in the presumptive diagnosis of malaria. It is impractical to screen manually all peripheral blood films for the diagnosis, especially in our institute where sample load is too high and when the parasitic index is low. Scattergram helps the pathologists and technicians who handle these auto analysers to pick up all suspicious cases and subsequently confirm the same on a PS and other rapid diagnostic tests.

In present study, it was revealed that scattergram of Sysmex XN 550 automated haematology analyser has good sensitivity (80.19%), which can be increased to a better level if combined with thrombocytopenia and symptomatology of the patients.
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