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ABSTRACT

Leiomyosarcomas of the spermatic cord are very rare malignant neoplasms. We report one case, a 64-year-old man presented with the painless, swelling, ball shaped mass in the right inguinal canal. Histopathological evaluation including immunohistochemistry studies confirmed a conventional leiomyosarcoma. Currently there has been no evidence local recurrence or distant metastasis for three months of follow-up. Leiomyosarcoma is a extremely malignant tumor; survival is poor. The long-term prognosis of leiomyosarcoma depends principally on stage and histologic grade. There appears to be a lack of concurrence about diagnostic criteria for malignancy opposite the leiomyosarcoma spectrum, but more studies are needed. This article reviews in the literature and the criteria of these tumors is also discussed.
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Introduction

Leiomyosarcomas prevalence ranging from approximately 5-10% of all soft tissue sarcomas [1]. Paratesticular leiomyosarcomas which is usually located on the spermatic cord or epididymis [2]. Leiomyosarcoma of the spermatic cord is very rare and nowadays, 110 cases have been reported in the literature [3]. A small number of cases of leiomyosarcoma appear to be primary spermatic cord, are more common uterin corpus and gastointestinal system. There may be considerable problems with clinical and pathological the differential diagnosis, particularly malignant and benign smooth muscle cell tumor [4]. The major differential diagnosis clinically is between inguinal hernia and inguinal ring (hernial) lipoma. In this case report, leiomyosarcoma of the spermatic cord soft tissue in a 64 year old man is described for its infrequency.

Case Report

A 64 year-old male arrived to the state hospital with compliant of a pain, constipation and burning/hot sensation around in the right groin. Clinical examination identified irreducible/strangulated righ inguinal hernia. Abdominal ultrasonography imaging revealed the presence of a solid mass in the right spermatic cord region, which was clinically considered as a may be tumor and was resected completely. Macroscopically, the tumor measured 5x2x3 cm in diameter. Gross findings nodular, grayish, firm, well-localized and well-demarcated and smooth mass. The cut surface of the tumor revealed ill-defined solid areas that were gray-white and brown, with focally hemorrhagic appearance and central degeneration (Figure 1). Macroscopically, tumor perforation and ulceration were not observed and the tumor margins were negative. Microscopically, the tumor appeared to consist predominantly interlacing bundles of smooth muscle cells with elongated and pink/eosinophilic cytoplasm, centrally located nucleus and blunt-ended, cigar-shaped, frequently vesicular nuclei (Figure 2). Significant cytological pleomorphism was observed focally areas. Mitotic activity was showed; mitotic rate; 5-9 per 10 high-power fields (HPF)), in addition, focal necrosis were also present. Histologic Grade (French Federation of Cancer Centers Sarcoma Group [FNCLCC]): 2 (tumor differentiation is scored 2, mitosis countscored 1 and tumor necrosis scored 1). The tumor cells were positive for caldesmon, smooth muscle actin and desmin (Figure 3) but negative for S100, CD117 and CD34. The Ki-67 (MIB-1, nuclear positivity) expression was approximately 40% positive in tumor cells, which showed a moderately proliferation index for the tumor. It was decided that all of these histopathological and immunohistochemically studies were diagnosis of conventional leiomyosarcoma (LMS).

Fig. 1: Gross features: The macroscopic appearance nodular, well-localized and well-demarcated mass, ill-defined solid areas that were gray-white and brown, with focally hemorrhagic appearance and central degeneration.
Fig. 2: Microscopically, A,B) The tumor appeared to consist predominantly interlacing bundles of smooth muscle cells with elongated and pink/eosinophilic cytoplasm, centrally located nucleus and blunt-ended, cigar-shaped, frequently vesicular nuclei. C,D) Significant cytological pleomorphism and mitotic activity was showed (H&E, 4x, 10x, 20x objective).

Fig. 3: A) Coagulative tumor necrosis (H&E, 10x objective). Immunohistochemically positive for; B) Caldesmon; C) Desmin; D) Smooth Mucle Actin (SMA) (20x objective).
Discussion

The paratesticular region is composed of the following anatomic structures: Rete testis, efferent ductules, epididymis, vas deferens (ductus deferens), testicular tunics and spermatic cord. Liposarcomas are the most prevalent malignant neoplasms of the paratesticular area [5]. Sarcoma of the spermatic cord first reported by Lesauvage in 1845 [6]. LMS of the spermatic cord are uncommon neoplasia of non-testicular origin and generally arises in the sixth or seventh decade. Originally considered to be benign smooth muscle tumors or leiomyosarcomas arise from embryologic undifferentiated mesenchymal cells of the cremasteric muscle or vas deferens cells [7]. Most paratesticular LMS are 2 to 9 cm in diameter [3]. LMS is subdivided into different groups on the basis of degree and distribution of organ or tissue involvement; the three main subcategories are LMS of deep soft tissue, cutaneous/subcutaneous tissue and vascular origin. Concordant with the current American Joint Committee on Cancer Staging System, paratesticular sarcomas must be in the “deep” subgroup [8]. Smooth muscle tumours of the scrotum are categorized into three major subtypes; leiomyoma, atypical leiomyoma and leiomyosarcoma [9, 10]. The majority of these classifications believe mainly on histopathological features of mitotic activity (5-10/50 HPF) this presentation is probably considered leiomyoma [11]. However, Newman and Fletcher reported, the exhibit of along any mitotic activity was supported as a criterion of malignant neoplasms contains different anatomic region and sex predilection. In the retroperitoneum in female leiomyoma may exhibit mitotic activity (5-10/50 HPF). LMS is composed of the following helpful in discrimination between smooth muscle neoplasia and other mesenchymal or stromal tumors [11]. The major prognostic factor predictive of metastasis and death is the histologic grade. Svarvar et al reported none of the grade 1 tumor metastasised while grade 2 and grade 3 tumors %6.1, %25 metastasised, respectively [13]. Quite often there is distant metastasis along hematogenous than the lymphatic line [4]. The most common location of the development of metastatic lesions is the lymph nodes, lungs, or liver [14]. We case underwent an without any complications postoperative course and after approximately three month of follow-up, there was no evidence of recurrent disease or metastasis. Local prophylactic radiotherapy planned postoperative. Considerations in the differential diagnosis of LMSs includes spindle cell neoplasms especially leiomyoma, and gastrointestinal stromal tumor, or other tumors; synovial sarcoma, malignant peripheral nerve sheath tumor, inflammatory myofibroblastic tumor, and pleomorphic sarcomas [1]. The standard treatment for spermatic cord LMSs radical orchidectomy and followed by adjuvant radiotherapy [15].

Conclusion

Leiomyosarcoma of the spermatic cord is an unusual neoplasm of soft tissue and should be differential diagnosis for particularly spindled cells tumors showing a predilection for the inguinal region. However, it should be kept in mind that these diagnostic criteria for malignancy across the leiomyoma spectrum, but more studies are needed. In addition to that close follow-up is extremely recommended for local recurrence or metastasis risk.
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