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ABSTRACT

Background: Acute appendicitis has remained a clinical entity and an ongoing diagnostic challenge. Appendicitis is one of the commonest surgical emergencies. However, histopathological studies are the gold standards for diagnosis of acute appendicitis. Though faecoliths are the usual cause of obstruction, other unusual findings can be the cause too, ranging from inflammatory conditions to malignancies.

Aims and Objectives: To document and compare unusual histopathological findings in appendectomy specimens in our center.

Methods: The clinicopathological records of resected appendices submitted to histopathology department over the period of 4 years from January 2012 to December 2015 were reviewed retrospectively. From accumulated information from 790 appendectomies, 44 appendectomy specimens had unusual histopathological findings were included in the study. Patient who underwent incidental appendectomy during other surgeries were excluded from the study.

Results: Out of 790 appendectomy specimens, acute appendicitis accounted for 302(38.2%) with peak occurrence in the age group 11-20 years (38.9%) and 21-30 years (27.7%) with male predominance (2.34:1). Unusual findings were noted in 44 (5.6%) cases by histopathology. Most common findings included obliterative appendicitis (77.3%), followed by eosinophilic appendicitis (6.8%) and granulomatous appendicitis (4.5%). Other unusual findings include diverticulum, mucocele, carcinoid and signet ring adenocarcinoma of the appendix.

Conclusion: The gross examination at the time of surgery cannot detect all the abnormalities of the appendix. Although unusual or co-existing pathologies can be rarely seen during appendectomy, all the appendectomy specimens should be sent for routine histopathological examination to avoid missing of any clinically important and treatable condition.
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## Introduction

Appendicitis is one of the most common acute surgical conditions of the abdomen and an appendectomy is one of the most frequent performed operations worldwide. The lifetime risk for appendicitis is 7% commonly occurring in adolescent and young adults. In developing countries like India, the incidence is increasing in most urban centers, probably due to adoption of western diet. Despite advances in technology and imaging modalities, there is a dilemma in the clinical diagnosis of acute appendicitis. Histopathological examination still remains the gold standard method for confirmation of appendicitis. Obstruction of lumen is the dominant factor in acute appendicitis and although faecoliths and lymphoid hyperplasia are the usual cause of obstruction, some unusual factors could be involved. Unusual causes of obstructions are enterobiasis, ascariasis, tuberculosis, carcinoid tumor, primary or secondary adenocarcinoma, lymphoma, dysplastic changes, mucocele, gastrointestinal stromal tumor, eosinophilic granuloma etc. Even though, there are many case reports in English written medical literature, reports with meticulous analysis of all cases with appendicitis are small in number.

The aim of the present study is to determine the various histological diagnoses of surgically removed appendices and to find out unusual factors for appendicitis and compare them with other researchers.

## Material and Methods

The present study was conducted in Hi-Tech Diagnostic center, Dhule and GMC, Ambajogai. Total of 790 specimens of appendices were received in the histopathology department during a period of 4 years from January 2012 to December 2015 and were reviewed retrospectively, with special reference to age, sex, operative and histology reports.

All the surgically resected appendices submitted to the department of Pathology were included in this retrospective study. Patient who underwent incidental appendectomy during other surgeries and negative appendectomies were excluded from the study. Histopathological reports were analyzed according to diagnosis and unusual findings were noted and data was compared.

## Results

Total of 790 specimens of appendices were received in the histopathology department during the period of 4 years from January 2012 to December 2015. Out of 790 appendicitis (clinically diagnosed on the physical and laboratory examination), 44 specimens (5.6%) were with unusual histopathological findings after final pathological evaluation. The peak age incidence of appendicitis was found in the age group 11-20 years with 38.9% (Table No. 1).

### Table 1: The distribution of acute appendicitis cases according to age group.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sr.No</th>
<th>Age group</th>
<th>No. Of cases</th>
<th>Percentage (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0-10</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>8.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>11-20</td>
<td>307</td>
<td>38.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>21-30</td>
<td>218</td>
<td>27.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>31-40</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>14.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>41-50</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>8.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>&gt;51</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>790</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

There were 554 (70.1%) males and 236 (29.9%) females among 790 cases of appendicitis with male: female ratio 2.36:1 (Table No. 2).

### Table 2: The distribution of acute appendicitis cases according to sex

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>No. Of cases</th>
<th>Percentage (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>554</td>
<td>70.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>236</td>
<td>29.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>790</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

After the final histopathological analysis in 790 cases of appendicitis, majorities were acute appendicitis (38.9%) and unusual findings were noted in 44 (5.6%) cases. (Table No. 3).

### Table 3: The varied spectrum of histopathological diagnoses of appendicitis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sr.No</th>
<th>HPE diagnosis</th>
<th>No. Of cases</th>
<th>Percentage (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Acute nonspecific appendicitis</td>
<td>302</td>
<td>38.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Acute perforative/obliterative appendicitis</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>9.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Acute suppurative/necrotizing appendicitis</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>7.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Recurrent/follicular appendicitis</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>9.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Chronic nonspecific appendicitis</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>28.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Gangrenous appendicitis</td>
<td>03</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Unusual appendicitis</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>5.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>790</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
In Unusual findings of appendicitis on histology, acute on chronic obliterator appendicitis was observed in majority of the cases (77.3%) followed by acute eosinophilic appendicitis (6.8%) and granulomatous appendicitis (4.5%).

In rare histopathological findings, we found single case of diverticulum of appendix, carcinoid tumor and mucocele (2.3%) respectively. The signet ring adenocarcinoma was seen in 2 cases (4.5%) (Table No.4). There were no unusual findings like E.vermicularis, T.saginata and Non Hodgkin’s lymphoma (NHL) in our study.

### Table 4: Unusual findings on histology

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sr. No.</th>
<th>Unusual/rare finding</th>
<th>No. Of cases</th>
<th>Percentage (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Chronic obliterator appendicitis</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>77.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Acute eosinophilic appendicitis</td>
<td>03</td>
<td>6.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Granulomatous appendicitis</td>
<td>02</td>
<td>4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Diverticulum appendicitis</td>
<td>01</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Mucocele appendix</td>
<td>01</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Carcinoid appendix</td>
<td>01</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Signet ring adenocarcinoma</td>
<td>02</td>
<td>4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>E. vermicularis and parasites</td>
<td>Nil</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>NHL</td>
<td>Nil</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Leiomyoma of appendix</td>
<td>Nil</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>44</strong></td>
<td><strong>100</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Discussion

Acute appendicitis is the most common surgical emergency for a number of decades and the appendectomy is the most frequently performed abdominal operation. [9] Obstruction of the lumen seems to be the essential for developing an appendiceal infection. Although faecoliths and lymphoid hyperplasia are the usual causes of the obstruction, some unusual factors could also be involved. [10-14]

The present study on unusual findings of appendix on histology (5.6%) is compared with Abdul rehman Salem Al Mulhim [11], Emre A et al.,[13] and Menon I et al.,[14] Duzgun AP et al.,[10] and Akbulut S et al.,[12] found 0.7% and 1% respectively. (Table No.5).

We encounter mostly chronic obliterator appendicitis as unusual finding (77.3%) due to faecoliths (Fig 1a & 2a). Lymphoid hyperplasia was noted mostly in first decades of the life. Development of the luminal obstruction, regardless of etiology has been proposed as the most significant factor in the etiopathogenesis of acute appendicitis. The most common cause of unusual findings by Emre A et al.,[13] was fibrous obliteration (64.8%) due to neurogenic proliferation. Such findings were not seen in our study.

The histological criterion for the diagnosis of acute appendicitis is polymorphonuclear leucocytic infiltration of the muscularis mucosa. The incidence of primary chronic appendicitis as a pathologic or clinical entity has been greatly disputed. Much more frequently recurrent acute attacks may be inappropriately referred to as chronic appendicitis. Extensive fibrosis of the appendiceal architecture implies a chronic inflammatory reaction within the wall, supports the diagnosis of chronic obliterator appendicitis. The appendectomy resolves the chronic appendicitis. Recurrent appendicitis especially in children occurs due to hyperplasia of lymphoid follicles in the wall, some other causes in the adults are due to excess mucin production. [10-14] The diagnosis of chronic and recurrent is clinically important due to its different causes. Recurrent right iliac fossa pain in mainly females may be due to many other gynecology causes including chronic appendicitis. The complications and follow up of varied diagnosis is different.

Acute eosinophilic appendicitis was noted in 3 cases in present study (Fig 1b & 2b). Same was comparable with Emre A et al.,[13] in one case only. Specifically eosinophilic appendicitis may be presented as obliterator appendicitis due to fibrosis. [15] Tally et al.,[16] given the strict criteria for eosinophilic appendicitis as-Presence of gastrointestinal
symptoms, biopsies demonstrating eosinophilic infiltration of one or more cases of GIT and no evidence of parasitic or extrinsic disease. All the criteria’s were fulfilled by our cases. Postoperatively, Stool examination was negative for ova, cyst or worm infestation on three separate occasions. Granulomatous appendicitis was observed in two cases (4.5%) in our study. Tuberculosis is known to be a disease of developing countries. The GI system is ranked sixth among all extrapulmonary involvements. The appendix may be affected secondary to ileocecal tuberculosis but appendicular tuberculosis may occur in an even rarer primary form without any evidence of the disease elsewhere. The reported incidence of appendicular tuberculosis varies from 0.1 to 3% among all appendectomies performed. An accurate diagnosis is usually established after histopathological examination of specimen. Histopathologically, submucosal caseating granuloma and Langhans giant cells suggesting tuberculosis of the appendix (Fig 1c&2c). The transmural inflammation was not there in our case with no fissure formation. Ziehl-Neelsen stain (20%) showed few acid fast bacilli in our case. Histologically we are able to differentiate the other differential such as Crohns disease or malignancy.

Appendicular diverticula are very rare and the reported incidence in appendectomy specimen has ranged from 0.004 to 2.1% . It may be single or multiple, congenital or acquired and usually smaller than 0.5 cms located within the distal third of appendix on the mesenteric border. Acquired diverticulum is more prevalent then congenital consisting of mucosa and subserosa herniated through vascular cleft in the muscular layer. It usually asymptomatic, but the most common complications such as perforation and infection can cause abdominal pain that mimics acute appendicitis. We found only one case (2.3%) of appendicular diverticula as compared with Emre A et al. (Fig 1e)

We found one case of mucocele of appendix was presented with eosinophilic appendicitis. First described in 1842, mucocele is an obstructive dilatation of appendix resulting from intraluminal accumulation of mucoid material. The incidence of this condition in appendectomy specimens has been described as retention cyst, mucosal hyperplasia, mucinous cystadenoma and cystadenocarcinoma. Emre A et al. also found only one case of mucocele, comparable with our study.

An appendiceal carcinoid tumor is considered the most common type of appendiceal primary malignant lesion and accounts for almost 60% of all appendiceal tumors. An appendiceal carcinoid tumor is found in 0.3 – 2.27% of patients undergoing an appendectomy. It is rare for carcinoids to be diagnosed preoperatively, they are usually found incidentally during appendectomy; as in our case. The 13 years female patient presented with acute appendicitis with perforation with tiny nodule of 0.6 cms at tip of appendix (Fig .1f).

In 70-85% of cases, the carcinoid tumors are less than 1cm and are located at the tip of appendix. The majority of appendiceal carcinoids are benign and metastasis is rare. A near zero rate , of calculated risk of metastasis from tumor less than 1 cm allow for management by simple appendectomy as in our case. However greater than 2cms are associated with increased risk of metastasis, usually managed by right hemicolectomy. Histologically comprises of nest of uniform monotonous cells with salt and pepper chromatin (fig 2d&e). Akbulut S et al. and Emre A et al. found 5 and 11 cases of carcinoid tumors in view of larger studies.

In present study, we found 2 cases of signet ring adenocarcinoma in older patients with characteristic histology of signet ring cells in mucoid background (Fig 2f). Primary adenocarcinoma of appendix is an extraordinary rare tumor and its incidence was 0.01% as per Akbulut S et al. . It behaved aggressively hence oncologic resection with right hemicolectomy is the treatment of choice. Our both cases were secondarily involved adenocarcinoma from colon on further exploration. There was no unusual findings like E. vermicularis, Non Hodgkin’s Lymphoma, Neuroura, Leiomyoma in our study and comparable with Akbulut S et al. and Emre A et al.

**Conclusion**

Right iliac fossa pain has the many differentials depends on the age group. Obstruction of the lumen is the dominant factor in acute appendicitis and although faecoliths and lymphoid hyperplasia are the usual causes of obstructions, some unusual factors could also be involved. Most appendiceal carcinoids and primary adenocarcinoma are diagnosed incidentally during surgery for acute appendicitis.

Certainly early diagnosis of cancer and initiation of treatment is extremely beneficial for patient’s survival. Hence, even when appendectomy specimen shows normal macroscopic features, complete histopathological analysis may provide clinically useful insights into patient’s condition and help to improve patient outcome by revealing a previously unrecognized disease.
Fig. 1: Gross features of unusual findings in appendectomy specimens.

a) Obliterative appendicitis with lumen completely obliterated by faecoliths
b) Eosinophilic appendicitis also presented with fibrosed and occluded lumen
c) Granulomatous appendicitis with tiny whitish tubercle on serosal aspect
d) Mucocele on cut section with dilated lumen and thick wall with mucoid fluid exudation
e) Diverticulum of appendix with large, dilated lumen and congested blood vessels on serosal aspect
f) Carcinoid tumor at tip of appendix presented with perforative appendicitis covered with brown exudation

Fig. 2: Unusual histopathological findings.

a) Chronic obliterative appendicitis with fibrous obliteration and lymphocytic infiltration (H&E, x100).
b) Eosinophilic appendicitis. The muscularis propria of appendix with dense and diffuse infiltration by eosinophils (H & E, x100),
   Inset( H & E, x400).
c) Granulomatous appendicitis. Submucosal granuloma with caseation necrosis (H & E, x100).
d) Carcinoid tumor presenting ulceration of the mucosa with submucosal tumor (H & E, x100)
e) Nests of uniform tumor cells with salt and paper chromatin in submucosa (H & E, x400)
f) Signet ring adenocarcinoma with characteristics signet ring cells with eccentric nucleus and vacuolated cytoplasm (H & E, x400)
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