Indigenous Flaps in ENT: Our Experience
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ABSTRACT

The nose is undoubtedly an important facial feature. The rhinologic surgeon with interest in external nasal soft tissue surgery, oncologic or otherwise, may often require reconstruction of a soft tissue defect, from the medial canthus to the nasal ala laterally, to the nasal tip medially. The coverage needs tissues which resemble the adjoining skin texture and have a thickness which would fill the defect appropriately without appearing as a separate patch and upset the patient psychologically. Therefore we utilized local rotation and advancement flaps for the reconstruction of facial defects. Experience with these flaps is being shared.
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Introduction

The history of nasal reconstruction parallels that of plastic surgery. It was begun by Sushruta in India during 600-700 BC [1]. The first procedure that was used for the nasal reconstruction was the midline forehead flap. The present era reconstructive surgeon now has a number of options in his armamentarium like local tissue from the nose, cheek, and forehead and also grafts from the nasal septum, ear, rib, hip, and calvaria [2].

As ENTHNS surgeons, we evaluate lesions which require reconstruction after excision and using simple techniques we can do without the assistance of plastic surgery colleagues. Some simple flaps shall be discussed here.

Being a defining feature of the face, the nose is arguably the most prominent aspect. It is a composite structure composed of skin, mucosal lining, cartilage, muscle, subcutaneous tissue, septum, and bone. Nasal reconstruction is thus a very challenging job for most plastic and reconstructive surgeons.

Commonly employed local flaps for defects along the medial canthus, nasal dorsum and nasal ala include the Nasolabial flap, Forehead transposition flap and Rintala flap which use the principles of VY advancement and Burows triangle. Our experience with these flaps is being described.

Nasolabial flap

A robust superiorly based myocutaneous flap pedicled on the facial artery, the nasolabial flap is an excellent choice in reconstruction of the nasal alar subunit, nasal tip, dorsum, soft triangle, and partial alar defects or single-stage reconstruction of oral cavity defects. Certain qualities make this flap ideal for nasal reconstruction. The color, skin tone and texture of the cheek tissue are similar to that of the nose. In addition, the proximity of the nasolabial fold to the nose facilitates easy transposition. [3,4]

We used this flap for the reconstruction of defect after excision of an ulcerated malignant lesion of the nasal ala involving the alar cartilage. [Figure 1,2] A sublabial flap may also be used if lip reconstruction is needed along. [Figure 6]

Forehead Transposition Flap

Based on the medial brow area, this vascular flap is pedicled on supratrochlear artery. Advantages of this flap include a good color match, inconspicuous donor and recipient site scars and normal nasal contours. [5]

We used this flap for pigmented lesion near the medial canthus which was completely excised and defect reconstructed. [Figure 3] Trapezoid VY advancement flap or the Reigers flap may also be suitable for such a lesion. [Figure 6]

Rintala Flap

It is a superiorly based midline flap dissected on supraperiosteal plane. It has been seen to be excellent for reconstruction over the glabella and the entire nasal dorsum up to the nasal tip, even up to the upper part of columella. It offers a good tissue coverage and flap viability, color match, and nasal contour. [6,7] This flap was used for the reconstruction of the nasal dorsum after removal of black pigmented ulcer on the midline dorsum. [Figure 4,5]

Conclusion

Being ENT surgeons we commonly deal with such lesions on the face like basal cell carcinoma, malignant melanoma, etc which are amenable to complete excision with wide
Fig. 1: (a) ulcerated lesion on right nasal ala, (b) excising the lesion, (c) raising the nasolabial flap, (d) covering the primary defect.

Fig. 2: (a) principle of VY advancement, (b) complete closure of defect, (c) patient at three month follow up.

Fig. 3: (a) outline of forehead flap, (b) pigmented lesion, (c,d) final outcome at three weeks.
Fig 4: (a) outline of rintala flap with burrow triangle, (b) pigmented ulcer on lower third of nasal dorsum, (c) rintala flap marked along with burrow triangle, (d) flap dissected and raised.

Fig 5: (a) lesion excised, (b) final closure, (c) patient at six month follow up.

Fig 6: schematic diagram showing the outline of (a) sublabial flap for lower lip reconstruction, (b) reiger flap for nasal dorsal lesions.
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tissue margins. All that is required is a good reconstruction of the defect after excision. These simple flaps can be easily mastered and utilized as and when required. With this we can limit the Plastic Surgeons being involved in the case and thus avoid additional cost to the patient.
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