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ABSTRACT

Change in medical education is needed to prepare doctors to meet the challenges of changing trends in the health care delivery system. Most of the medical schools have traditional, teacher-centered training. Most of the current undergraduate training is didactic and pedagogical, with the teacher as a source of information, which encourages students for surface learning. The most popular and widespread teaching method is lecturing. In a lecture, learners are passive. It has the lowest retention value of all teaching techniques.

After reviewing literature, it was noted from various studies that incorporation of various interactive techniques, viz., brainstorming, think pair and share, multiple-choice questions, role play, word puzzles, quiz, group tasks, problem-based learning, case-based learning help to achieve learning objectives in a student-centric manner. Also, from review of literature it is found that interactivity makes the students more attentive and enthusiastic. Incorporation of interactivity in lectures has been found to improve teaching-learning process by educators who utilized interactivity in classroom teaching.

Interactive teaching in medical education is need of hour to be introduce to achieve goals of learning outcomes of undergraduate teaching.
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Introduction

Change in medical education is currently a worldwide phenomenon. It is needed to prepare doctors to fulfill the expectations of society, to cope with the exponential growth of medical and scientific knowledge, to inculcate physicians’ ability for lifelong learning, to ensure mastery in information technology and to adjust medical education to changing trends in the health care delivery system. These trends have been introduced in the different health institutes in most of the developed countries. In India, greater efforts are to be taken to orient teachers about these trends to bring desired changes in medical education to produce need-based human resources for health in the region. However, such a shift from traditional approach to a need-based approach requires a fundamental change of the roles and commitments of educators. Most of the medical schools have traditional, teacher-centered and hospital-based training with a few exceptions only.

The broad goal of this study was to assess the effect of utilization of interactive tools in lectures.

Self-directed learning involves the learner as an active participant and encourages the development of deep learning. Most of the current undergraduate training is didactic and passive with teacher as a centre for learning and as a source of information. In contrast to this, Learner-centered learning is an active process, where the student learns through his own study. Moreover, the approach motivates students to adapt to the new knowledge, challenges, and problems he will encounter in future in his professional life. The key features of self-directed learning concord with the principles of adult learning and also with the findings of research in cognitive psychology.

Strategies that have been developed as self-directed learning include problem-based learning, interactive learning, discovery learning, task-based learning, experimental and reflective learning, portfolio-based learning; small-group, self-instructional and project-based learning; peer-evaluation and learning contracts.

In traditional didactic teaching, the teacher is responsible for learning, teaching is an instructive process, learners are passive, the teacher is an instructor and a lecturer, the learner has only written, taped or broadcast material and the learner receives information.

In contrast to this, in collaborative learning, the learner is responsible for learning, teaching/learning is a constructive process, learners are active, the teacher is a facilitator and a counsellor (the teacher acts as a tutor), the learner has a possibility to reach a wide range of information via new educational technology and the learner is a creative person who solves problems and uses information collaborative work.

Consequently, the typical teaching methods and resources/activities which take place in the two scenarios also differ.
In traditional didactic teaching process - resources/activities include Lectures, Seminars, and Films, audio and video tapes, printed material, Radio broadcasts and TV broadcasts. While in collaborative learning - resources/activities include Project learning and problem solving, formulating a question/thesis/problem, answering a question or solving a problem, preparing a report/proposal/plan, designing a prototype/conducting a project.\(^9\)

Wagner defined interactivity as “reciprocal events that require at least two objects and two actions. Interactions occur when these objects and events mutually influence one another”.\(^10\) Interactive teaching is a two-way process of active participant engagement with each other, the facilitator, and the content.\(^6\) When compared to traditional learning, collaborative learning promotes more critical thinking skills such as analysing, evaluating, synthesising and applying information. It also promotes more social skills and a self-directive way of learning. Self-direction means that the learner has to take more responsibility of his/her own learning. Collaborative learning methods are becoming more and more common and popular, but are often difficult, time consuming or expensive to put into practice.\(^9\)

In Studies that focus on the interactivity and its derivatives, Daniel and Marquis,\(^11\) Moore,\(^12\) Wagner,\(^13\) Markwood and Johnstone,\(^14\) Barnard,\(^15\) Parker,\(^16\) and Blurtoon\(^17\) have found interactive teaching tools to be far superior to traditional didactic methods. Moore offers three types of interactivity.\(^12,18,19\) In Moore’s typology we have learner-content, learner-instructor, and learner-learner interactivity. Learner-content interactivity is illustrated by a student reading a book or a printed study guide. Instructor-learner interaction is the core of the teaching process. The success of the course design will depend largely on whether the conversation between teacher and learner is such that the learner can increase self-direction and construct new knowledge or not. Learner-learner interaction involves students working together to discuss, debate and attempt to solve problems that arise in their study of the course materials.\(^17\) Markwood and Johnstone provide fourth type of interactivity. The fourth type of interaction is one of interaction through electronic exchange, with students electronically or digitally sharing the results of newly formed knowledge over a period of time.\(^14\) Anderson and Garrison described the three common types of interaction viz, student-student; student-teacher; student-content as illustrated in Figure 1.\(^20\)

Interactive teaching values student’s prior ideas and aims at empowering students to be independent learners. For teachers it offers an opportunity to learn along with the students and to use their interactive skills to listen carefully and challenge misconceptions where possible. To find the time to diagnose what students understand, either individually or as a group, and then to challenge them to enrich their thinking, requires a class management style that allows teachers space to interact.\(^9\)

Mathew L Costa et al have found in their study that interactive teaching methods are superior to conventional didactic teaching.\(^21\) There was a consistent suggestion in the literature that shifting the balance of interaction in classrooms towards the dialogic end of the scale would bring improvements to the learning process and consequently to attainment outcomes.\(^22\)

![Fig. 1: Types of interaction as described by Anderson and Garrison.](image-url)
Interactive teaching methodology is becoming one of the important teaching modalities as against didactic lectures, thanks to recent brainstorming in the field of medical education technology(MET) in developing countries like India. Developed countries are way ahead in this MET and have already successfully implemented their student-centric, skilled based curriculum. Accordingly, many medical institutes/universities in India where medical education unit is highly functional, have already started to implement innovations in medical curriculum. In this, interactive teaching is becoming a stepping stone/step forward in student-centric learning process. After reviewing articles from different corners of India for interactive teaching, it was interesting to see that wherever it was introduced, students’ feedback was very positive and demanded for continuation of this format. Study from D Y Patil deemed university showed perspectives of II MBBS students in Pathology regarding interactive teaching methods such as group discussions, brainstorming session, question answer sessions, multiple choice questions(MCQs), confusion technique and summaries. They studied showed good internal consistency with Crohnbach SQ alpha coefficient of 0.9. They found most popular interactive mode to be MCQs(76%) followed by brainstorming (64%) and confusion technique(53%). End result of this learning outcomes student noted were improved communication skills, retention of topic, improved attention span. Another study from Northern India(Haryana) introduced interactive teaching in the form of case based scenario, think pair and share, quiz and role play. The feedback from students showed encouraging results regarding their perceptions of interactivities with 75.2% of the students strongly agreed that sessions were enjoyable than traditional didactic lectures. Also, it stimulated thinking(78%) regarding the topic. They were also more enthusiastic, motivated to take part in discussion. Similarly, one more study from Amritsar also took feedback in the form of questionnaire on interactive teaching techniques such as small group activity, brainstorming, think pair and share sessions, demonstrations with the help of audio-visuals, role play, problem-solving, directed listening, case-based examples, pre and post testing. The result of questionnaire showed that 85% students favored computer aided teaching as most reliable technique, 90% found audiovisual aids develops the ability to understand the topic better, 70% responded that Group teaching to be good learning experience, 90% found Role plays and simulation more interactive.

Thus, from the findings of our study and the literature reviewed, incorporation of interactivity in classroom teaching definitely improves teaching-learning process. It promotes self directed learning, prolongs retention of the subject in one’s memory and creates more interest in the subject. Shift from the traditional teacher centered, pedagogic teaching approach to new student centered adult learning approach is the need of the present hour. Introduction of innovative tools like interactivity in classroom teaching can help to achieve this goal.

In the present study, we have focused on interactivity in lectures as a method of collaborative learning. Possibly the most popular and widespread teaching method is lecturing, in which the teacher gives information and the students listen or take notes. Lecturing is a useful way of imparting a great deal of information quickly, but it is passive for students. Keeping the students’ attention is a major dilemma educators have to face. The best use of lecturing is in combination with other methods; this helps students retain their interest and attention, allows for more student participation, and emphasizes different learning styles. Lecturing delivers “concepts”, delivers a lot of information in a short amount of time and conveys information that is difficult to present in another way. Overuse of lecturing should be avoided because in a lecture your learners are passive, doesn’t guarantee understanding, no feedback from learners, easily bores the audience unless well prepared and has lowest retention value of all teaching techniques. People generally listen for only 15-20 minutes without a break, people learn more when given an opportunity to process what they are learning. People retain more if they review or use the information immediately after learning it.

Interactive Teaching involves facilitator and learners, encourage and expect learners to participate, use questions to stimulate discussion, emphasizing the value of answers, give participants hands-on experience, use teaching aids to gain and retain attention. Participants like to be actively involved. Participants want to share knowledge and ideas. Teacher doesn’t have to be an expert and answer all questions, because learners can address questions as well.

Judicious incorporation of interactivity in lectures leads to learner-content, learner-learner and learner-student interaction, which makes teaching learning process easier, interesting and fruitful.
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