Introduction

The wide varieties of plant products having ethno-pharmacological importance have been used against many infectious and non-infectious diseases by traditional medical practitioners for thousands of years with or without proper scientific validation. Even though antibiotics are undisputedly considered as one of the important therapeutic discoveries of 20th century, only one third of the infectious diseases known have been treated from this synthetic products[1]. This implies the considerable increase in the rate of resistant pathogens against antibiotics. In general, bacteria have the genetic ability to transmit and acquire resistance to drugs, which are utilized as therapeutic agents. The resistance developed by many microbes against synthetic drugs was the major reason for switching over the search from synthetic chemicals to highly potent plant derived molecules which acts against a wide spectrum of microbes.

Fungi are among the important biotic agents which play a significant role in deteriorating aesthetic and nutritive value of the stored food commodity[2-3]. They are considered as significant destroyers of stored foodstuffs and grains, rendering them unfit for human consumption by retarding their nutritive value and often by producing mycotoxins[4-6]. A significant portion of the agricultural product in the country and the world over become unfit for human consumption due to mycotoxins contamination of grains, especially those produced by species of Aspergillus, Mucor and Penicillium.

Even though the precise mechanism of action of many plant extracts against bacteria is not well studied, but it has been proved that the stress and overload experienced by bacterial cell wall is the major reason behind bacteriostatic or bactericide activity[7]. This suggests that the action of same compound on gram positive and gram negative bacteria may vary considerably. Despite extensive progress in the past few years, the morbidity and mortality of invasive bacterial and fungal infections are still unacceptably high. It would therefore be novel to evaluate and identify antimicrobial drugs with new mechanisms of action having broad spectrum of activity, less toxicity, flexible route of administration. Current trends in drug development...
process are focused on natural sources, especially sources of plant origin due to some proven correlation between folkloric medicinal uses of some of these plants to biological activity[8].

Coconut (Cocos nucifera L.) which comes under the family Arecaceae is commonly considered as an important fruit crop in tropical and subtropical countries. The coconut fruit comprises an outer epicarp, a mesocarp, and an inner endocarp. The mesocarp of coconut, commonly known as coconut “husk” is the major source of the coir fibre which is extracted from the husk by a process called retting [9]. Retting of coconut husks encompasses the biodegradation of mainly polyphenols and pectins which play a major role in binding the fibre in the husk. Resorcinol, pyrogallolic acid and catechol were found to be major phenolic compounds leached out during retting of coconut husks. Cocos nucifera is a widely dispersed plant that has important pharmacological effects with low toxicity. Different constituents of endocarp and coconut water exhibit antioxidant activity whereas the fibre showed antibacterial, antiparasitic, and anti-inflammatory activities[10].

After scrutiny of published literature showing its medicinal importance as antioxidant, anthelminthic, antimicrobial, antithrombotic, antidiabetic, hepatoprotective and anticholecystitic effects the present study has been outlined regarding the antifungal and antibacterial activity of tender coconut husk leachate. Thus the aims of this study were 1. Anaerobic leaching of tender coconut husk, 2. Lyophilizing half of the extract obtained after leaching, 3. Qualitative phytochemical screening of anaerobic leachate, 4. To evaluate the antibacterial and antifungal activity of unaltered raw leachate and lyophilized leachate separately.

Materials and Methods
Collection and Extraction of Plant Material: Tender coconut husk was selected as experimental material. Tender coconuts of moderate weight were collected from local market of Irinjalakuda, Thrissur district and its total weight was taken. Outer husk of the fruit was decorticated, washed and cut into small pieces. Extraction was done in different air tight containers. Approximately 1kg of husk was weighed from different tender coconuts, and it was immersed in 5litres of de-aerated water. The husk was hammered well before immersing so as to make the extraction process easier. The extraction process was continued in anaerobic condition for about 30 days.

Lyophilization and Phytochemical Screening: Lyophilization or freeze drying is defined as a stabilizing process in which the sample is frozen followed by a reduction of the water content by sublimation and then by desorption to standards that will no longer allow biological growth or chemical reactions[11]. After 30 days of anaerobic leaching, leachate was filtered using Syringe-driven filters (0.22µ) and transferred to amber coloured bottles ensuring less air contact. Leachate was then separated into two halves. One half of the leachate was dried using a rotary evaporator and stored in air tight vials. Other half was centrifuged in 5000rpm for 10minutes. The supernatant was filtered and lyophilized in a freeze dryer (Operon Freeze Dryer) and at 4°C. Qualitative phytochemical analysis of the tender coconut husk leachate was carried out using standard procedures to assess the different types of phytochemical constituents present in the dried leachate. Screenings were done for polyphenols, saponins, flavonoids, alkaloids, tannins and terpenoids and carbohydrates[12-14].

Antimicrobial Susceptibility Test
Microbial Strains: The antimicrobial activities of the raw and lyophilized leachate were tested against Escherichia coli (MTCC 1652), Lactobacillus plantarum (MTCC 1407), Staphylococcus aureus (MTCC 3160), Klebsiella pneumonia (MTCC 2403), Penicillium sps (MTCC 1995), Aspergillus niger (MTCC 872) and Mucor indicus (MTCC 3318).

Disc Diffusion Assay: Disc diffusion method was used to screen the anti-bacterial activity of tender coconut husk leachate. The plates were prepared by pouring 15 ml of molten sterile nutrient agar media into sterile petri plates. The plates were allowed to solidify for 5 min. The test microorganisms 10µl (10⁶ cells/ml) from overnight broth cultures of bacteria in nutrient broth were seeded into respective plates with medium by spread plate method. Inoculated cultures were allowed to dry. Concentrations of leachate were taken as 1, 2, 5 and 10 mg/ml. Sterilized paper discs of 6 mm diameter were taken for assay. Prepared sterile paper discs were saturated with tender coconut husk leachate of different concentrations and dried. Saturated discs were then placed on the surface of agar medium of petri plate and allowed to diffuse for 3 minutes. Pre-prepared plates were kept for incubation at 37 ºC for 24 hrs. Streptomycin (20µg/ml) discs were used as positive control. DMSO (100µg/ml) discs were used as negative control. Post-incubation inhibition zones around the extract disc were measured with a transparent ruler in mm[15]. Inhibition value is obtained using the formula,

Inhibition value = Inhibition diameter in mm – Disk diameter (6mm) / 2

The mean and standard deviation of triplicates of various concentrations of plant extracts were calculated and compared with Streptomycin.
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Minimum inhibitory concentration and Minimum bactericidal concentration assays

Minimum Inhibitory Concentration was determined according to Murray’s method[16] with slight modifications. Different dilutions of the leachate in increasing concentrations viz., 1, 2, 5 and 10 mg/ml were prepared by dissolving it in DMSO. Standardized suspensions of the test organisms (Escherichia coli, Lactobacillus plantarum, Staphylococcus aureus, and Klebsiella pneumoniae) were inoculated into a series of 96 well microtiter plate including one positive and one negative control. All tubes were incubated at 37°C for 24 hours and then examined for growth, by observing the turbidity. The microtiter plate showing the minimum turbidity was noted for MIC. Ten microliters of bacterial culture from the MIC tubes, which did not show any growth was pipetted and sub cultured onto Nutrient agar, and incubated at 37°C for 24 hours.

After incubation, the concentration at which there was not a single colony of bacteria was taken as the minimum bactericidal concentration (MBC).

Determination of Antifungal Activity
Poison Plate Assay: Antifungal activity of tender coconut husk leachate was determined by food-poisoned technique[17] with minor modifications. The plates were prepared by pouring 1ml of raw/lyophilized leachate in different concentrations (viz., 1, 2, 5 and 10 mg/ml) in to respective plates. To this 15 ml of molten sterile PDA were poured and allowed to solidify for 5 minutes. After solidification fungus were inoculated using sterile wire loop. The inoculated plates were incubated at 37°C for 48hrs. Fluconazole (20µg/ml) and DMSO (100µg/ml) were used as positive control and negative control respectively.

Result and Discussion
Phytochemical Screening: The qualitative phytochemical screening test reveals the presence of alkaloids, terpenoids, phenols, tannins and carbohydrates with lyophilized husk leachate. It is noteworthy that the raw samples did not show a positive reaction for alkaloids and terpenoids indicating that these compounds present in the leachate could be heat liable. Carbohydrates were absent in both freeze dried and air dried leachate (Table 1). Phytochemical screening of C. nucifera conducted by Alviano et al. has reported that this plant material is rich in polyphenolic molecules catechin, andepicatechin together with condensed tannins, which conferson its potent antimicrobial properties[18]. Tannins present in the coconut plant extracts possess astringent effect on the mucous membrane. They also form a layer over enamel, thus providing protection against dental caries[19].

Antimicrobial Susceptibility Test
Disc Diffusion Assay: For the disc diffusion assay we have used two gram positive bacteria (MTCC 3160 & MTCC 1407) and two gram negative bacteria (MTCC 1652 & MTCC 2403). In all the experimental trials with raw and lyophilized leachate, the zone of inhibition increased from low to high concentrations (Table 2). It was also observed that both the lyophilized and raw leachate were comparatively effective in preventing the colonization of gram positive bacteria than gram negative bacteria. At higher concentrations the diameter of zone of inhibition of raw leachate against two gram positive bacteria S.aureus and L.plantarum were found to be 16 and 16.6 millimetres respectively. In the case of gram negative bacteria E.coli and K.pneumoniae the diameter of zone of inhibition decreased to 11.1 and 11.2 millimetres respectively at higher concentrations of raw leachate. The disc diffusion assay also envisage that in all the four concentrations the lyophilized leachate possess a comparative dominance over the raw leachate in preventing both gram positive and negative bacteria. According to the antimicrobial study on coconut husk extract[20], they observed that the antimicrobial activity of husk extract increased with increasing concentration and was found to be more effective against gram-negative than gram-positive organism which was somewhat similar with our results on both lyophilized as well as raw anaerobic husk leachate. Anaerobic leaching may have enhanced the formation of recalcitrant compounds in higher proportions and this may thought to be effective in preventing the colonization of both gram positive and gram negative bacteria tested.

Minimum inhibitory concentration and Minimum bactericidal concentration assays: The average values of Minimum inhibitory concentration and Minimum bactericidal concentration assays are plotted in figure 1. The results show that the minimum inhibitory concentration and minimum bactericidal concentration of gram positive bacteria exhibited much lower values than that of gram negative bacteria. On treatment with raw leachate the average MIC of gram positive bacteria Lactobacillus was about 2mg/ml followed by S.aureus (5mg/ml). In the case of gram negative bacteria E.coli and K.pneumoniae, the average MIC value was about 10 mg/ml each for unaltered raw leachate. Compared to raw leachate the MIC values of L.plantarum and K.pneumoniae were found to be decreased to 1mg/ml and 5mg/ml respectively when treated with lyophilized leachate. On the other hand the MIC values of S.aureus and E.coli when treated with lyophilized leachate were found to be more or less similar with raw leachate treatment. The average MBC values on treatment with raw leachate were found to be 10mg/ml.
each for *S. aureus* and *L. plantarum*. In the case of *E. coli* and *K. pneumoniae* the average MBC values were 15mg/ml and 20mg/ml respectively. The average MBC value of lyophilized leachate show marked difference from raw leachate with an average value of 1mg/ml against *L. plantarum* and 10mg/ml against *K. pneumoniae*. The precise mechanism through which the secondary metabolites from plant materials donate to anti-bacterial activity is not clear, though one of the mechanisms suggests that it is the hydrophobicity which helps partition the cell membrane and rendering them more permeable and leaky.[21]

**Antifungal Activity**

**Poison Plate Assay:** The anti-fungal activity of raw leachate and lyophilized leachate after 24 and 48hrs of incubation against *Penicillium* sps, *Aspergillus niger* and *Mucor indicus* was observed. In the case of raw leachate treatment, when the concentration of leachate increases from 1 mg/ml to 10 mg/ml, we could observe a measured decrease in the diameter of the fungal colonies in the PDA plate. The maximum inhibition was seen at the concentration 10mg/ml and it was almost equal to the extent of 20µg/ml of fluconazole (positive control). The *Penicillium* sps, *Aspergillus niger* and *Mucor indicus* exhibited almost similar growth inhibition at highest concentrations. The diameter of growth of *Aspergillus niger* at the highest concentration (10mg/ml) was less than the diameter of positive control but in *Mucor* the diameter of growth at higher concentration was double the value of positive control. The antifungal activity of lyophilized leachate after 24hrs of incubation against *Penicillium* sps, *Aspergillus niger* and *Mucor indicus* were evaluated using various concentration. In all the three fungal strain tested, there was a concentration dependent growth inhibition. At the highest concentration (10mg/ml), leachate was very effective in limiting the growth of *Aspergillus niger* to a smaller diameter than that of the positive control (fluconazole). The *Penicillium* sps shows almost similar results that of positive control. *Mucor indicus* seems to be less affected even at higher concentrations of lyophilized leachate. In all the trials the fungal growth was proportionately high in negative control plates.

After 48 hrs of incubation the fungus show similar kind of growth in raw and lyophilized leachate containing PDA plates. In the case of *Penicillium* sps and *Aspergillus niger* grown in raw leachate containing plates the diameter of growth of the colony at highest concentration (10mg/ml) were less than that of fluconazole. At the highest tested concentration (10mg/ml) of lyophilized leachate, *Aspergillus niger* and *Penicillium* sps shows almost similar diameter of growth as that of fluconazole. In the case of *Mucor indicus*, even at higher concentration (10mg/ml) of raw and lyophilized leachate, the diameter of growth was double that of fluconazole showing they are less affected by any of the leachate. After 48hrs *Penicillium* sps, *Aspergillus niger* and *Mucor indicus* were grown to the full plate in DMSO (negative) control.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1: Preliminary phytochemical screening of Coconut husk anaerobic leachate.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sl.No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*(Key: + presence, - absence)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2: Zone of inhibition of tender coconut husk raw and lyophilized leachate against different bacterial strains.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Test organisms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>E. coli</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>K. pneumoniae</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>L. plantarum</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>S. aureus</em></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Note: The control disc used for solvent had no zone of inhibition, so there data was omitted from the above data. Data are represented in the form of mean of three tests ± SD of the standard group.*
Table 3: Antifungal activity of raw and lyophilized leachate observed after 24hrs of incubation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test organisms</th>
<th>Diameter of zone of inhibition (mm)</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Raw leachate</td>
<td>Lyophilized leachate</td>
<td> </td>
<td> </td>
<td> </td>
<td> </td>
<td> </td>
<td> </td>
<td> </td>
<td> </td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1 mg/ml</td>
<td>2mg/ml</td>
<td>5mg/ml</td>
<td>10mg/ml</td>
<td>Flucanazole</td>
<td>DMSO</td>
<td>1 mg/ml</td>
<td>2mg/ml</td>
<td>5mg/ml</td>
<td>10mg/ml</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Penicillium sps</td>
<td>13.7 ±0.4</td>
<td>12.3 ±0.3</td>
<td>10.1 ±1.1</td>
<td>6.5 ±0.5</td>
<td>6.3 ±0.5</td>
<td>69 ±1.6</td>
<td>12 ±0.5</td>
<td>11 ±0.5</td>
<td>8.9 ±0.2</td>
<td>7.6 ±0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A.niger</td>
<td>14.1 ±0.4</td>
<td>11.9 ±0.1</td>
<td>9.3 ±0.6</td>
<td>6.6 ±0.1</td>
<td>8.1 ±1</td>
<td>69.9 ±1</td>
<td>18.5 ±0.4</td>
<td>15.6 ±1</td>
<td>9.1 ±0.7</td>
<td>6.7 ±0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M.indicus</td>
<td>33.6 ±1.5</td>
<td>25 ±1.4</td>
<td>20.2 ±0.7</td>
<td>18.4 ±0.6</td>
<td>9.2 ±1</td>
<td>70.1 ±1.2</td>
<td>32.6 ±0.5</td>
<td>24.6 ±1.1</td>
<td>19.9 ±0.7</td>
<td>17.8 ±0.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Data are represented in the form of mean of three tests ± SD of the standard group.

Table 4: Antifungal activity of raw and lyophilized leachate observed after 48hrs of incubation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test organisms</th>
<th>Diameter of zone of inhibition (mm)</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Raw leachate</td>
<td>Lyophilized leachate</td>
<td> </td>
<td> </td>
<td> </td>
<td> </td>
<td> </td>
<td> </td>
<td> </td>
<td> </td>
<td> </td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1 mg/ml</td>
<td>2mg/ml</td>
<td>5mg/ml</td>
<td>10mg/ml</td>
<td>Flucanazole</td>
<td>DMSO</td>
<td>1 mg/ml</td>
<td>2mg/ml</td>
<td>5mg/ml</td>
<td>10mg/ml</td>
<td>Flucanazole</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Penicillium sps</td>
<td>18 ±0.9</td>
<td>15.4 ±0.5</td>
<td>12.8 ±0.2</td>
<td>10.3 ±0.5</td>
<td>11.3 ±1.1</td>
<td>FG</td>
<td>18 ±1</td>
<td>17.5 ±1.3</td>
<td>12.7 ±0.3</td>
<td>10.7 ±0.6</td>
<td>11.8 ±0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A.niger</td>
<td>18.5 ±0.4</td>
<td>15.6 ±1</td>
<td>12.2 ±1</td>
<td>9.9 ±1</td>
<td>10.5 ±0.9</td>
<td>FG</td>
<td>18.2 ±0.6</td>
<td>17.1 ±1</td>
<td>11.3 ±0.5</td>
<td>10.8 ±0.7</td>
<td>10.5 ±0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M.indicus</td>
<td>50.7 ±0.6</td>
<td>45 ±1.1</td>
<td>35.3 ±1.3</td>
<td>28 ±0.4</td>
<td>11.13 ±1</td>
<td>FG</td>
<td>62.2 ±0.9</td>
<td>46.6 ±1.1</td>
<td>35.6 ±0.9</td>
<td>29.2 ±0.8</td>
<td>11.5 ±0.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Data are represented in the form of mean of three tests ± SD of the standard group. FG: Fully grown.

Conclusion

From this study we could conclude that the anaerobic leachate of tender coconut husk exhibits antimicrobial activity against different pathogenic organisms studied. In all the trials the raw and lyophilized leachate showed very prominent control on microbial growth compared to DMSO (negative) control. The phytochemical analysis revealed the presence of different secondary metabolites in the raw leachate and lyophilized leachate varies. Among these identified metabolites the level of phenolic compounds was reasonably high and this is supposed to be a major factor in limiting the growth of microbes in culture plates. These results help to enhance the possibilities of future studies to isolate potent molecules from coconut husk leachate and

Fig. 1: Showing average MIC and MBC values of raw and lyophilized leachate.
test for their activity against broad spectrum of multi drug resistant microbes and this may leads to the commercial production of effective and safe antimicrobial products.
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